



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/017,983	12/13/2001	Masaaki Harasawa	4293	8381

21553 7590 12/20/2005
FASSE PATENT ATTORNEYS, P.A.
P.O. BOX 726
HAMPDEN, ME 04444-0726

EXAMINER

ZARROLI, MICHAEL C

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2839

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/017,983	HARASAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael C. Zarroli	2839	

All Participants:

(1) Michael C. Zarroli (USPTO).

(2) Walter Fasse (aty).

Status of Application: allowed/ issue fee paid

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 December 2005

Time: 1110

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

MICHAEL C. ZARROLI
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Michael C. Zarroli

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner stated that because of supplemental declaration filed 11/9/05 under 1.67b the ex. had to determine if there was any new matter added in the previous amendments listed in the new declaration. Examiner discussed issue with SPRE. Examiner could not find much new matter in these amendments. Aty. stated that RCE & withdraw from issue was recently filed because of art cited in foreign prosecution. Supplemental declaration filing will be picked up again by examiner when he receives new RCE. .